arXiv:1609.03008v2 [math-ph] 24 Mar 2017

A REGULAR ANALOGUE OF THE SMILANSKY MODEL: SPECTRAL PROPERTIES

Diana Barseghyan *
Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute CAS,
25068 Řež near Prague, Czech Republic,
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University
of Ostrava, 30. dubna 22, 70103 Ostrava, Czech Republic
e-mail: *dianabar@ujf.cas.cz, diana.barseghyan@osu.cz*

Pavel Exner
Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute CAS,
25068 Řež near Prague, Czech Republic,
Doppler Institute for Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics,
Czech Technical University, Břehová 7, 11519 Prague, Czech Republic
e-mail: *exner@ujf.cas.cz*

(Received 2016)

We analyze spectral properties of the operator $H = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \omega^2 y^2 - \lambda y^2 V(xy)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\omega \neq 0$ and $V \geq 0$ is a compactly supported and sufficiently regular potential. It is known that the spectrum of H depends on the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator $L = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \omega^2 - \lambda V(x)$ and it changes substantially as $\inf\sigma(L)$ switches sign. We prove that in the critical case, $\inf\sigma(L) = 0$, the spectrum of H is purely essential and covers the interval $[0, \infty)$. In the subcritical case, $\inf\sigma(L) > 0$, the essential spectrum starts from ω and there is a non-void discrete spectrum in the interval $[0, \omega)$. We also derive a bound on the corresponding eigenvalue moments.

Keywords: Discrete spectrum, eigenvalue estimates, Smilansky model, spectral transition.

1. Introduction

In the paper [13] Uzy Smilansky introduced a simple example of quantum dynamics which behaves in two substantially different ways depending on the value of the coupling

¶The research has been supported by the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) within the project 17-01706S. D.B. acknowledges the project SMO "Posílení mezinárodního rozměru vědeckých aktivit na Přírodovědecké fakultě OU v Ostravě" No. 0924/2016/SaS. Useful comments of the referees are also gratefully acknowledged.

constant; the original motivation was to demonstrate that some commonly accepted assumption in describing irreversible dynamics via coupling to a heat bath can be avoided. In PDE terms the model is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\mathrm{Sm}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + y^2\right) + \lambda y\delta(x) \tag{1.1}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the two dynamics types can be expressed in spectra terms: for $\lambda \leq \sqrt{2}$ the operator (1.1) is bounded from below, while for $\lambda > \sqrt{2}$ its spectrum fills the real line [14]; note that the model has a mirror symmetry which allows us to consider the situation with $\lambda \geq 0$ only.

The model was subsequently generalized in various way, in particular, to situations when more than one singular 'escape channel' is open [5, 9]. Other modifications concerned replacing the oscillator by a potential well of a different shape [16] or by replacing the line by a more general graph [15]. It is also possible to have the motion in the x direction restricted to an interval with periodic boundary conditions [6, 11]. In the first named of these papers time evolution of wave packets was investigated to confirm the idea that the spectral change in the supercritical case corresponds to the possibility of an 'escape to infinity'; the model was then in [6] interpreted as a caricature description of a quantum measurement.

Another question inspired by this work was whether Smilansky model has an analogue in which the δ-interaction with y-dependent strength is replaced by a smooth potential channel U of increasing depth. One way to do it is to approximate the δ-interaction in (1.1) by a family of shrinking potentials in the usual way [1, Sec. I.3.2]. Since the mechanism behind the abrupt spectral transition is the competition between the δ-potential eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 y^2$ and the oscillator potential, and since the said approximation is based on the assumption that the integral of the potential is preserved at squeezing, we have to match the integral of the approximating potential with the δ coupling constant, $\int U(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x \sim y$, which can be achieved, e.g., by choosing $U(x,y) = \lambda y^2 V(xy)$ for a fixed function V. This suggests the partial differential operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ acting as

$$H = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \omega^2 y^2 - \lambda y^2 V(xy) \tag{1.2}$$

as a suitable candidate for such a regular counterpart to the operator (1.1). Here ω, λ are positive constants and the potential V with $\operatorname{supp} V \subset [-a,a]$, $a > 0$, is a non-negative function with bounded first derivative; under these assumptions the operator (1.2) is by Faris-Lavine theorem [10, Thms. X.28 and X.38] essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Hence it has a unique self-adjoint extension, namely its closure, which we will for simplicity also denote by H.

In [3] we investigated such a model and demonstrated that it also exhibits an abrupt spectral transition when the coupling parameter exceeds a critical value. To describe it we need to introduce a one-dimensional comparison operator,

$$L = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \omega^2 - \lambda V(x) \tag{1.3}$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the domain $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R})$. This allowed us to characterize different spectral regimes: the operator H is bounded from below provided that L is non-negative, while if the spectral threshold of L is negative the spectrum of H fills the whole real line; for the sake of brevity we shall refer to these cases as to *(sub)critical* and *supercritical*, respectively.

To make it clear how the operator L arises, consider for a fixed y the corresponding part of (1.2), namely

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \omega^2 y^2 - \lambda y^2 V(xy) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}\,, \tag{1.4}$$

and writing $u(x,y) = \sqrt{|y|}f(z|y|,y)$ we pass from (1.4) to the unitarily equivalent operator

$$y^2\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \omega^2 - \lambda V(\pm z)\right)$$

with (1.3) related to the expression inside the bracket.

To be exact, in [3] the last term on the right-hand side of (1.2) was modified by a cut-off factor introduced from technical reasons which had no influence on the described behavior. The aim of the present paper is to extend and deepen the analysis of this operator class in several directions:

- to analyze the critical case, $\inf\sigma(L) = 0$, in particular, to show that $\sigma(H) = [0,\infty)$ holds in this case
- in the subcritical case, to show that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) = [\omega,\infty)$ and the discrete spectrum is nonempty
- also in the subcritical case, to derive a bound to eigenvalue momenta

These three topics will be subsequently dealt with in Sections 2.–4. below. Before proceeding to that, let us mention that there are other systems with narrowing potential channels which exhibit similar spectral transitions. To our knowledge, the effect was first noted by M. Znojil [17]. Another recent example concerns the operator $-\Delta + |xy|^p - \lambda(x^2+y^2)^{p/(p+2)}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with a fixed $p \geq 1$ discussed recently in [4], where the spectrum changes from purely discrete to the whole real line at the critical value of λ equal to the principal eigenvalue of the corresponding one-dimensional anharmonic oscillator.

2. The critical regime

2.1. Essential spectrum

In the free case, $\lambda = 0$, the spectrum is purely essential and equal to $[\omega,\infty)$. We show first that no part of it is lost when the critical perturbation is switched on, and on the contrary, the essential spectrum now includes the whole non-negative half-line.

THEOREM 1. *Under the stated assumptions, the essential spectrum of operator H given by (1.2) contains the half-line $[0,\infty)$ if $\inf\sigma(L) = 0$.*

Proof. To prove that any non-negative number μ belongs to the essential spectrum of H we are going to employ Weyl's criterion [10, Thm. VII.12]: we have to find a sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset D(H)$ of unit vectors, $\|\psi_n\| = 1$, which converges weakly to zero and

$$\|H\psi_n - \mu\psi_n\| \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

holds. We are going to use the fact that for any non-negative potential V which is not identically zero the operator

$$\widetilde{L} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - \lambda V(x)$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has at least one negative eigenvalue [12], hence the spectral threshold of L is an isolated eigenvalue; we denote the corresponding normalized eigenfunction by h.

Given a smooth function χ with $\operatorname{supp}\chi \subset [1,2]$ and satisfying $\int_1^2 \chi^2(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = 1$, we define

$$\psi_n(x,y) := h(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive integer to be chosen later. For the moment we just note that choosing n large enough one can achieve that $\|\psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ as the following estimates show,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left|h(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\,\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y &= \int_n^{2n}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|h(xy)\,\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_n^{2n}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{y}\left|h(t)\,\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}t \int_n^{2n} \frac{1}{y}\left|\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_n^{2n} \frac{1}{y}\left|\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}y = \int_1^2 \frac{1}{z}\,|\chi(z)|^2\,\mathrm{d}z \geq \frac{1}{2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Our next aim is to show that $\|H\psi_n - \mu\psi_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon$ holds for a suitably chosen $n = n(\varepsilon)$. By a straightforward calculation one finds

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi_n}{\partial x^2} = y^2 h''(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2\psi_n}{\partial y^2} &= x^2 h''(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) + 2ix\sqrt{\mu}\,h'(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) + \frac{2x}{n}h'(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi'\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) \\
&\quad -\mu h(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) + 2\frac{i\sqrt{\mu}}{n}h(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi'\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n^2}h(xy)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y}\chi''\left(\frac{y}{n}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We need to show that choosing n sufficiently large one can make the terms on the right-hand side of (2.3) as small as we wish. Changing the integration variables, we get the

following estimate,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| x^2\, h''(xy)\, \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y} \chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y = \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| x^2\, h''(xy)\, \chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_n^{2n} \frac{1}{y^5} \left|\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}y \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^4 |h''(t)|^2\, \mathrm{d}t \le \frac{1}{n^4} \int_1^2 |\chi(z)|^2 \mathrm{d}z \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^4 |h''(t)|^2\, \mathrm{d}t\,;$$

note that since the potential V has by assumption a compact support, the ground state eigenfunction h decays exponentially as $|x| \to \infty$, hence the second integral in the last expression converges. In the same way we establish the remaining inequalities we need,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| x h'(xy)\, \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y} \chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{y^3} \left| t h(t)\, \chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}t\, \mathrm{d}y \le \frac{1}{n^2} \int_1^2 |\chi(z)|^2 \mathrm{d}z \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^2 |h(t)|^2\, \mathrm{d}t\,,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{x}{n}\, h'(xy)\, \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y} \chi'\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{y} \left| \frac{t}{ny} h'(t)\, \chi'\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}t\, \mathrm{d}y \le \frac{1}{n^4} \int_1^2 |\chi'(z)|^2 \mathrm{d}z \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^2 |h'(t)|^2\, \mathrm{d}t\,,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{1}{n}\, h(xy)\, \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y} \chi'\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{y} \left| h(t)\, \chi'\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}t\, \mathrm{d}y \le \frac{1}{n^2} \int_1^2 \frac{|\chi'(z)|^2}{z} \mathrm{d}z \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t)|^2\, \mathrm{d}t\,,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{1}{n^2} h(xy)\, \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu}y} \chi''\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^4} \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{y} \left| h(t)\, \chi''\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}t\, \mathrm{d}y \le \frac{1}{n^4} \int_1^2 |\chi''(z)|^2 \mathrm{d}z \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t)|^2\, \mathrm{d}t\,,$$

which show that the corresponding terms are either $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ or $\mathcal{O}(n^{-4})$ as $n \to \infty$, hence choosing n large enough we can achieve that the sum of all the integrals at the left-hand sides of the above inequalities is less than ε. This allows us to estimate the expression in

question,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |H\psi_n - \mu\psi_n|^2(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| -\frac{\partial^2\psi_n}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2\psi_n}{\partial y^2} + \omega^2 y^2\psi_n - \lambda y^2 V(xy)\psi_n - \mu\psi_n \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad\le \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| y^2 h''(xy)\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) - \omega^2\, y^2 h(xy)\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) + \lambda y^2\, V(xy)h(xy)\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \varepsilon \\
&\quad= \int_n^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| y^2 \left(h''(xy) - \omega^2 h(xy) + \lambda V(xy)h(xy)\right)\chi\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \varepsilon\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that $Lh = 0$ holds by assumption, the last inequality implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |H\psi_n - \mu\psi_n|^2(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y < \varepsilon\,. \tag{2.4}$$

To complete the proof we fix a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ holds as $j \to \infty$, and to any j we construct a function $\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}$ according to (2.1) with the parameters chosen in such a way that $n(\varepsilon_j) > 2n(\varepsilon_{j-1})$. The norms of $H\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}$ satisfy inequality (2.4) with ε_j on the right-hand side, and since the supports of $\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, do not intersect each other by construction, the sequence of these functions converges weakly to zero. The same is true for the sequence of unit vectors $\tilde\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)} := \frac{\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}}{\|\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}\|}$ and the norms of $H\tilde\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}$ satisfy an inequality similar to (2.4), this time with $2\varepsilon_j$ on the right-hand side; this yields the sought claim. □

Remark 1. Note that he sequence $\psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}$ can be "less sparse" then we have assumed in the proof. Indeed, these functions tend to zero uniformly on compact sets due to the factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ in their definition, hence for any compactly supported test function ϕ one has $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_{n(\varepsilon_j)}\overline{\phi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. As compactly supported functions are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, this is sufficient to ensure the weak convergence.

2.2. Non-negativeness

Now we are going to show that under our assumptions the operator H has no negative spectrum in the critical regime.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\inf\sigma(L) = 0$*, then* H *is non-negative.*

Proof. For any $u \in \mathrm{dom}(Q_H)$, the quadratic form associated with H, we have

$$Q_H[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \omega^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\,.$$

Neglecting the second term on the right-hand side, we can estimate the form value as

$$Q_H[u] \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \omega^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 |u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 V(xy) |u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \right) \mathrm{d}y \,. \tag{2.5}$$

For any fixed $y \neq 0$ we change variables in the inner integral on the right-hand side and denote $w(t,y) = u\left(\frac{t}{y}, y\right)$. Using the fact that $L \geq 0$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 (x,y) \,\mathrm{d}y + \omega^2 \, y^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^2(x,y) \,\mathrm{d}x - \lambda y^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(xy) |u|(x,y)^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{|y|} \left(y^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right|^2 (t,y) \,\mathrm{d}t + \omega^2 \, y^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w|^2(t,y) \,\mathrm{d}t - \lambda y^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(t) |w|^2(t,y) \,\mathrm{d}t \right) \\
&\quad = |y| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right|^2 (t,y) \,\mathrm{d}t + \omega^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w|^2(t,y) \,\mathrm{d}t - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(t) |w|^2(t,y) \,\mathrm{d}t \right) \geq 0 \,,
\end{aligned}$$

which in combination with the inequality (2.5) establishes our claim. □

Corollary 1. In the critical case we have $\sigma(H) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) = [0, \infty)$.

3. Subcritical regime

3.1. Essential spectrum

In contrast to the critical case, one can now guarantee only that the perturbation does not make the essential spectrum shrink.

Theorem 3. *Let* $\inf \sigma(L) > 0$ *then* $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) \supset [\omega, \infty)$.

Proof. As before we are going to construct a Weyl sequence for any number $\mu \geq \omega$. This time we employ the functions

$$\varphi_k(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \, g(y) \, e^{i\sqrt{\mu-\omega}x} \, \eta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \,, \tag{3.1}$$

where g is the normalized eigenfunction associated with the principal eigenvalue of the harmonic oscillator, $h_{\mathrm{osc}} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}y^2} + \omega^2 y^2$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(1,2)$ is supposed to satisfy the following condition,

$$\int_1^2 \eta^2(z) \,\mathrm{d}z = 1 \,,$$

and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive integer to be chosen later. Let us note that $\|\varphi_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1$ because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi_k(x,y)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(y)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}y \int_k^{2k} \left| \eta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \int_1^2 \eta^2(z) \,\mathrm{d}z = 1 \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Our aim is to show that $\|H\varphi_k - \mu\varphi_k\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon$ holds for an appropriate $k = k(\varepsilon)$. By a straightforward calculation one gets

$$\frac{\partial^2\varphi_k}{\partial x^2} = \left(-\frac{(\mu-\omega)}{\sqrt{k}}\,\eta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) + \frac{2i\sqrt{\mu-\omega}}{k\sqrt{k}}\,\eta'\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) + \frac{1}{k^2\sqrt{k}}\,\eta''\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right) g(y)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu-\omega}x} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2\varphi_k}{\partial y^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\,g''(y)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu-\omega}x}\eta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\,.$$

We want to show that choosing k sufficiently large one can make a part of the terms at the right-hand side of (3.3) as small as one wishes. Changing the integration variables, we get the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\frac{1}{k\sqrt{k}}\,g(y)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu-\omega}x}\eta'\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y &= \frac{1}{k^3}\int_k^{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|g(y)\,\eta'\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\\ \le \frac{1}{k^3}\int_k^{2k}\left|\eta'\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\int_{\mathbb{R}}|g(y)|^2\,\mathrm{d}y &\le \frac{1}{k^2}\int_1^2|\eta'(z)|^2\mathrm{d}z\int_{\mathbb{R}}|g(y)|^2\,\mathrm{d}y\,,\end{aligned}$$

and in the same way we establish the remaining inequality needed to demonstrate our claim,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\frac{1}{k^2\sqrt{k}}\,g(y)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{\mu-\omega}x}\eta''\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y &= \frac{1}{k^5}\int_k^{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|g(y)\,\eta''\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\\ \le \frac{1}{k^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|g(y)|^2\,\mathrm{d}y\int_1^2|\eta''(z)|^2\mathrm{d}z\,.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, choosing k large enough one can achieve that the integrals on the left-hand sides of the above inequalities will be less than ε, which implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|H\varphi_k-\mu\varphi_k|^2(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|-\frac{\partial^2\varphi_k}{\partial x^2}-\frac{\partial^2\varphi_k}{\partial y^2}+\omega^2y^2\varphi_k-\lambda y^2V(xy)\varphi_k-\mu\varphi_k\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &\quad\le \frac{1}{k}\int_k^{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|-g''(y)+(\mu-\omega)g(y)+\omega^2y^2g(y)-\lambda y^2V(xy)g(y)-\mu g(y)\right|^2\\ &\quad\times\eta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y+\varepsilon\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using now the fact that g is the ground-state eigenfunction of h_{osc} and that the potential

V is compactly supported, the above result implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |H\varphi_k - \mu\varphi_k|^2(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y &\le \frac{\lambda^2}{k}\int_k^{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^4V^2(xy)\,g^2(y)\,\eta^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y+\varepsilon\\ &\le \frac{\lambda^2}{k}\int_k^{2k}\int_{-\frac{a}{k}}^{\frac{a}{k}} y^4V^2(xy)\,g^2(y)\,\eta^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y+\varepsilon\\ &\le \frac{a^4\lambda^2\|V\|_\infty^2}{k^5}\int_{-\frac{a}{k}}^{\frac{a}{k}} g^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\int_k^{2k}\eta^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x+\varepsilon\\ &\le \frac{a^4\lambda^2\|V\|_\infty^2}{k^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\int_1^2\eta^2(z)\,\mathrm{d}z+\varepsilon\,,\end{aligned}$$

and consequently, for a large enough k we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |H\varphi_k - \mu\varphi_k|^2(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y < 2\varepsilon\,. \tag{3.4}$$

To complete the proof we proceed as in Theorem 1 choosing a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ holds as $j\to\infty$ and to any j we construct a function $\varphi_{k(\varepsilon_j)}$ with the parameters chosen in such a way that $k(\varepsilon_j) > 2k(\varepsilon_{j-1})$. The norms of $H\varphi_{k(\varepsilon_j)}$ satisfy the inequality (3.4) with $2\varepsilon_j$ on the right-hand side, and the sequence converges by construction weakly to zero; this time the elements of the sequence are already normalized. □

3.2. Discrete spectrum

Next we are going to show that a subcritical perturbation cannot inflate the essential spectrum.

THEOREM 4. *Let* $\inf\sigma(L) > 0$*, then the spectrum of operator* H *below* ω *is discrete.*

Proof. We employ a Neumann bracketing and the minimax principle [10, Secs. XIII.1 and XIII.15]. Let us fix a natural number k, later to be chosen large, and let $h_{n,k}^{(\pm)}$ and h_k be the Neumann restrictions of operator H to the regions

$$G_{n,k}^{(\pm)} = \{x:\ |x|\le k\}\times\{y:\ 1+\ln n < \pm y \le 1+\ln(n+1)\}$$

and

$$G_k = \{|x|>k\}\times\mathbb{R}\,,\quad G^{(0)} = [-k,k]\times[-1,1]\,.$$

We have the inequality

$$H \ge \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \left(h_{n,k}^{(+)}\oplus h_{n,k}^{(-)}\right)\oplus h_k\oplus h^{(0)}\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Since the spectrum of $h^{(0)}$ is obviously discrete, to prove our claim we first demonstrate that the spectral thresholds of $h_{n,k}^{(\pm)}$ tend for large enough k to infinity as $n\to\infty$, and

secondly, that for any $\Lambda < \omega$ one can choose k in such a way that the spectrum of h_k below Λ is empty. Since the function V has a bounded derivative and is compactly supported we have

$$V(xy) - V(x(1+\ln n)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\ln n}\right), \quad y^2 - (1+\ln n)^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$$

for any $(x,y) \in G_{n,k}^{(+)}$ and similar relation for $G_{n,k}^{(-)}$. This yields

$$y^2 V(xy) - (1+\ln n)^2\, V(\pm x(1+\ln n)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$$

for any $(x,y) \in G_{n,k}^{(\pm)}$, which further implies the asymptotic inequalities

$$\inf\sigma(h_{n,k}^{(\pm)}) \geq \inf\sigma(l_{n,k}^{(\pm)}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right), \tag{3.6}$$

in which the Neumann operators $l_{n,k}^{(\pm)} := -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \omega^2(1+\ln n)^2 - \lambda(1+\ln n)^2\, V(\pm x(1+\ln n))$ on $G_{n,k}^{(\pm)}$ have separated variables. Since the principal eigenvalue of $-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}y^2}$ on an interval with Neumann boundary conditions is zero, we have

$$\inf\sigma\left(l_{n,k}^{(\pm)}\right) = \inf\sigma\big(\widetilde{l}_{n,k}\big), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\widetilde{l}_{n,k} := -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \omega^2\,(1+\ln n)^2 - \lambda(1+\ln n)^2\, V(x(1+\ln n))$$

acts on $L^2(-k,k)$. To proceed with the proof we employ the following result established in [2]: Let $l_k = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \omega^2 - \lambda V(x)$ be the Neumann restriction of operator L given by (1.3) to the interval $[-k,k],\ k>0$, then we have

$$\inf\sigma\,(l_k) \to \gamma_0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty\,, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\gamma_0 := \inf\sigma(L)$. Further we note that by the change of the variable $x = \frac{t}{1+\ln n}$ the operator $\widetilde{l}_{n,k}$ is unitarily equivalent to $(1+\ln n)^2\, L_{n,k}$, where $L_{n,k} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \omega^2 - \lambda V$ in $L^2(-k(1+\ln n),\, k(1+\ln n))$ with Neumann conditions at the endpoints of the interval. Then in view of inequalities (3.6)-(3.7), the relation $\inf\sigma(\widetilde{l}_{n,k}) = (1+\ln n)^2\, \inf\sigma(L_{n,k})$, and (3.8) we conclude the proof of the discreteness of $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\, \left(h_{n,k}^{(+)} \oplus h_{n,k}^{(-)}\right)$.

It remains to inspect the spectrum of h_k. Since V is compactly supported then $V(xy) = 0$ if $|x| > k$ and $|y| > \frac{a}{k}$, hence in view of (1.2) we have $h_k = -\Delta + \omega^2 y^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$, and therefore

$$\inf\sigma(h_k) = \inf\sigma(-\Delta + \omega^2 y^2) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \tag{3.9}$$

by an elementary perturbation argument [7]. Since the operator $-\Delta + \omega^2 y^2$ allows for separation of variables, which shows that its spectrum is $[\omega, \infty)$, in combination (3.9) we arrive at

$$\inf\sigma(h_k) = \omega + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})\,, \tag{3.10}$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 4. □

REMARK 2. Let us denote now the operator (1.2) as H_λ. Since the potential V is non-negative it is easy to see that the relations $\mathrm{dom}(Q_{H_\lambda}) \subset \mathrm{dom}(Q_{H_\mu})$ and $Q_{H_\mu} \leq Q_{H_\lambda}$ hold provided $\lambda \leq \mu$, in other words, that we have operator inequality $H_\mu \leq H_\lambda$. This allows us to localize better the discrete spectrum.

COROLLARY 2. The discrete spectrum of a subcritical operator (1.2) is contained in the interval $[0, \omega)$.

Proof. By the previous remark we have $H_\lambda \geq H_{\lambda_{\mathrm{crit}}}$ which yields the claim in combination with Theorem 2 and the minimax principle. □

3.3. Existence of the discrete spectrum

The above results, on the other hand, tell us nothing about the existence of the discrete spectrum. This is the question we are going to address now.

THEOREM 5. *Let* $\inf \sigma(L) > 0$*, then the discrete spectrum of* H *is non-empty.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 4 it is sufficient to construct a normalized trial function ϕ such that the corresponding value of the quadratic form Q_H is less than ω. This time we use the letter h to denote the normalized ground-state eigenfunction of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator governed by $h_{\mathrm{osc}} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}y^2} + \omega^2 y^2$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and set

$$\phi(x,y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} h(y) \chi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) ,$$

where $\chi(z)$ is a real-valued smooth function with $\mathrm{supp}(\chi) = [-1,1]$ such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \chi^2(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = 1\,, \quad \min_{|z|\leq 1/2} \chi(z) =: \alpha > 0\,,$$

and k is a natural number to be chosen later. A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_H[\phi] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega^2 y^2 \,|\phi|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,|\phi^2|\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \frac{1}{k^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^2(y)\,(\chi')^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(h'\right)^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega^2 y^2\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y - \frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) + \frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\left(h'\right)^2(y) + \omega^2 y^2\,h^2(y)\right)\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad -\frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) + \frac{\omega}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y - \frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) + \omega - \frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\,. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

We need to estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (3.11). One has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y = \frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{-k}^{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad \geq \frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{-k/2}^{k/2}\int_0^\infty y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \geq \frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{k}\int_{-k/2}^{k/2}\int_0^\infty y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad = \frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{k}\int_0^\infty \int_{-ky/2}^{ky/2} y\,V(t)\,h^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}y \geq \frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{k}\int_1^\infty \int_{-k/2}^{k/2} yV(t)\,h^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad \geq \frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{k}\int_1^\infty yh^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\int_{-k/2}^{k/2} V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\,.
\end{aligned}$$

If k is large enough then the above estimate implies

$$\frac{\lambda}{k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y^2 V(xy)\,h^2(y)\,\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \geq \frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{k}\int_1^\infty yh^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\int_{-a}^{a} V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\,,$$

hence in combination with (3.11) we infer that

$$Q_H[\phi] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) + \omega - \frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{k}\int_1^\infty yh^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\int_{-a}^{a} V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t < \omega\,,$$

which is what we set out to demonstrate. □

4. Eigenvalue estimates

Since the spectrum of H in $[0,\omega)$ is non-empty and consists of the discrete eigenvalues of finite multiplicity one can think about the eigenvalue momentum estimates in the spirit of Lieb and Thirring [8]. To state our result we need the following definition:

Let $\inf\sigma(L) = \gamma_0 > 0$ and let l_k be the Neumann restriction of L to the interval $[-k,k],\ k>0$. We denote

$$\kappa := \min\{k:\ \inf\sigma(l_k) \geq \gamma_0/2\} \tag{4.1}$$

and observe that (3.8) guarantees the existence of such a number.

Then we can make the following claim:

THEOREM 6. *Let $\inf\sigma(L) = \gamma_0 > 0$, then for any $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}(\omega - H)_+^\sigma \leq 2\lambda^{2\sigma}\|V\|_\infty^{2\sigma} a^{4\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{2\sigma}\left(\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}a + (n-1)\pi\right)^{2\sigma}} \\ + \left(\frac{2\alpha_1\sqrt{\omega+\lambda\alpha_1^2\|V\|_\infty}}{\pi} + 1\right)^2 \left(\omega + \lambda\alpha_1^2\|V\|_\infty\right)^\sigma\end{aligned}$$

holds, where

$$\alpha_1 := \max\left\{\sqrt{\kappa},\ \frac{2\omega}{\gamma_0},\ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}a}{\sqrt{2\omega}}\right\} \tag{4.2}$$

with κ defined by (4.1).

Proof. We are going to employ a bracketing argument similar to that used in Subsection 3.2. imposing additional Neumann conditions at the boundaries of the regions

$$G_n^{(\pm)} = \{-\alpha_1 < x < \alpha_1\} \times \{\alpha_n < \pm y < \alpha_{n+1}\}\,,$$

$$Q_n^{(\pm)} = \{\alpha_n < \pm x < \alpha_{n+1}\} \times \mathbb{R}\,,$$

and

$$G_0 = (-\alpha_1, \alpha_1)^2\,,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a monotonically increasing sequence such that $\alpha_n \to \infty$. Let $h_n^{(\pm)}, \widetilde{h}_n^{(\pm)}$, and h_0 be the Neumann restrictions of the operator H to the regions $G_n^{(\pm)}, Q_n^{(\pm)}$ and G_0, respectively. Then we have the inequality

$$H \geq \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(h_n^{(+)} \oplus h_n^{(-)}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{h}_n^{(+)} \oplus \widetilde{h}_n^{(-)}\right) \oplus h_0\,, \tag{4.3}$$

and consequently, $\mathrm{tr}(\omega - H)_+^\sigma$ can be estimated from above by the sum of the corresponding traces of three components of the right-hand side of (4.3), or their lower bounds. We begin with $h_n^{(+)}$, where the corresponding quadratic form $Q_{h_n^{(+)}}$ estimates as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{h_n^{(+)}}[u] &= \int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1}\int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1}\int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &\quad + \omega^2 \int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1}\int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}} y^2|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y - \lambda\int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1}\int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}} y^2 V(xy)|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}} \left(\int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \omega^2 \int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} y^2|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x - \lambda\int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} y^2 V(xy)|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x \right) \mathrm{d}y \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for any u from its domain by neglecting the second term in the first expression. For any fixed $y \neq 0$ we change of the variables in the inner integral on the right-hand side of (4.4) and denote by $w(t,y) = u\left(\frac{t}{y}, y\right)$. By choosing $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{\kappa}$, where κ is given by (4.1) we arrive at the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^2 (x,y)\,\mathrm{d}y + \omega^2\, y^2 \int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} |u|^2(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x - \lambda y^2 \int_{-\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} V(xy)|u|(x,y)^2\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{y}\Big(y^2 \int_{-y\alpha_1}^{y\alpha_1} \left|\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right|^2 (t,y)\,\mathrm{d}t + \omega^2\, y^2 \int_{-y\alpha_1}^{y\alpha_1} |w|^2(t,y)\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\qquad - \lambda y^2 \int_{-y\alpha_1}^{y\alpha_1} V(t)|w|^2(t,y)\,\mathrm{d}t \Big) \geq \frac{y\gamma_0}{2}\,. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality together with (4.4) imply that if

$$\alpha_1 = \max\left\{ \frac{2\omega}{\gamma_0}\,, \sqrt{\kappa} \right\}\,,$$

the operators $h_n^{(+)}, n = 1, 2, \ldots$, have an empty spectrum below ω, and the same is *mutatis mutandis* true for $h_n^{(-)}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Let us next pass to the operators $\widetilde{h}_n^{(\pm)}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Since the potential V is compactly supported by assumption we have the estimate

$$\widetilde{h}_n^{(+)} \geq -\Delta + \omega^2 y^2 - \frac{\lambda\|V\|_\infty\, a^2}{\alpha_n^2}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Since the right-hand side of (4.5) allows for separation of variables, the spectrum of $h_n^{(+)}$ is the "sum" of the spectra of the one-dimensional Neumann operator $-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}$ on the interval (α_n, α_{n+1}) and the operator $-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}y^2} + \omega^2 y^2 - \frac{\lambda\|V\|_\infty a^2}{\alpha_n^2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Consider first the latter. Under the assumption

$$\frac{\lambda\|V\|_\infty\, a^2}{\alpha_1^2} \leq 2\omega \tag{4.6}$$

this operator has no more than one eigenvalue below ω and

$$|\omega - \lambda_1^n| \le \frac{\lambda\|V\|_\infty a^2}{\alpha_n^2} \tag{4.7}$$

holds, where λ_1^n is the indicated eigenvalue.

The spectrum of the one-dimensional Neumann Laplacian on interval (α_n, α_{n+1}) consists of simple eigenvalues, $\left\{\frac{\pi^2 j^2}{(\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n)^2}\right\}_{j=0}^\infty$, and by choosing

$$\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n \le \frac{\pi\alpha_1}{\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}\, a} \tag{4.8}$$

one can achieve that all the eigenvalues except the one with $j = 0$ are not less than ω. Hence we obtain in view of (4.7) the following estimates,

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\omega - \widetilde{h}_n^{(+)}\right)_+^\sigma \le \frac{\lambda^\sigma \|V\|_\infty^\sigma a^{2\sigma}}{\alpha_n^{2\sigma}}\,, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots\,,$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\omega - \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \widetilde{h}_n^{(+)}\right)_+^\sigma \le \lambda^\sigma \|V\|_\infty^\sigma a^{2\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\alpha_n^{2\sigma}}$$

for any $\sigma \ge 0$. Next we are going to minimize the right-hand side of the last inequality. In view of assumptions (4.6) and (4.8) we may choose $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + \frac{\pi\alpha_1}{\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}\, a} = \alpha_1 + \frac{n\pi\alpha_1}{\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}\, a}$ starting from some $\alpha_1 \ge \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}\, a}{\sqrt{2\omega}}$ which means that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\omega - \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \widetilde{h}_n^{(+)}\right)_+^\sigma \le \lambda^{2\sigma} \|V\|_\infty^{2\sigma} a^{4\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{2\sigma}\left(\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}\, a + (n-1)\pi\right)^{2\sigma}}\,. \tag{4.9}$$

In the same way one can establish the estimate for operators $\widetilde{h}_n^{(-)}\,, n = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\omega - \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \widetilde{h}_n^{(-)}\right)_+^\sigma \le \lambda^{2\sigma} \|V\|_\infty^{2\sigma} a^{4\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{2\sigma}\left(\sqrt{\lambda\|V\|_\infty}\, a + (n-1)\pi\right)^{2\sigma}}\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, the operator h_0 can be estimated from below by the Neumann operator

$$\widetilde{h}_0 = -\Delta - \lambda\alpha_1^2\|V\|_\infty \quad \text{on} \quad (-\alpha_1, \alpha_1)^2$$

the spectrum of which is simple and given by $\left\{\frac{\pi^2(j^2+q^2)}{4\alpha_1^2} - \lambda\alpha_1^2\|V\|_\infty\right\}_{j,q=0}^\infty$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\omega - h_0)_+^\sigma \le \operatorname{tr}\left(\omega - \widetilde{h}_0\right)_+^\sigma \le \left(\omega + \lambda\alpha_1^2\|V\|_\infty\right)^\sigma \left(\frac{2\alpha_1\sqrt{\omega + \lambda\alpha_1^2\|V\|_\infty}}{\pi} + 1\right)^2, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}\,. \tag{4.11}$$

Choosing now α_1 according to (4.2) and using the estimates (4.9)-(4.11) in combination with the fact that the operators $h_n^{(\pm)}$ have empty spectrum below ω, we conclude the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

[1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Second edition, with an appendix by P. Exner, AMS Chelsea, New York 2005.

[2] P.B. Bailey, W.N. Everitt, J. Weidmann, A. Zettl, Regular approximations of singular Sturm-Liouville problems, *Results in Mathematics* **22** (1993), 3–22.

[3] D. Barseghyan, P. Exner, A regular version of Smilansky model, *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), 042104.

[4] D. Barseghyan, P. Exner, A. Khrabustovskyi, M. Tater, Spectral analysis of a class of Schrödinger operators exhibiting a parameter-dependent spectral transition, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** (2016), 165302.

[5] W.D. Evans. M. Solomyak, Smilansky's model of irreversible quantum graphs: I. The absolutely continuous spectrum, II .The point spectrum, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **38** (2005), 4611–4627, 7661–7675.

[6] I. Guarneri, Irreversible behaviour and collapse of wave packets in a quantum system with point interactions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011), 485304.

[7] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin 1995.

[8] E.H. Lieb, W. Thirring, Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities, *Studies in Math. Phys., Essays in Honor of Valentine Bargmann* (E. Lieb, B. Simon, and A. S. Wightman, eds.), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1976, pp. 269–330.

[9] S. Naboko, M. Solomyak, On the absolutely continuous spectrum in a model of an irreversible quantum graph, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **92** (2006), 251–272..

[10] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, I. Functional Analysis, II. Fourier Analysis, IV. Analysis of Operators. Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York 1981, 1975, 1978.

[11] G. Rozenblum, M. Solomyak, On a family of differential operators with the coupling parameter in the boundary condition, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **208** (2007), 57–71.

[12] B. Simon, The bound state of weakly coupled Schrödinger operators in one and two dimensions, *Ann. Phys.* **97** (1976), 279–288.

[13] U. Smilansky, Irreversible quantum graphs, *Waves Random Media* **14** (2004), 143–153.

[14] M. Solomyak, On a differential operator appearing in the theory of irreversible quantum graphs, *Waves Random Media* **14** (2004), 173–185.

[15] M. Solomyak, On a mathematical model of irreversible quantum graphs, *Math. J.* **17** (2006), 835–864.

[16] M. Solomyak, On the limiting behaviour of the spectra of a family of differential operators, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 10477–10489.

[17] M. Znojil, Quantum exotic: a repulsive and bottomless confining potential, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998), 3349–3355.